# A new obstruction to the local lifting problem

Aristides Kontogeorgis

11 July 2024

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens

Acknowledgements The research project is implemented in the framework of H.F.R.I. Call "Basic research Financing Horizontal support of all Sciences)" under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan "Greece 2.0" funded by the European Union Next Generation EU, H.F.R.I. Project Number: 14907.







### Lifting the local action

G is a finite group, k algebraically closed field of characteristic p>0, W(k) the ring of Witt vectors of k.

 $\rho: G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(k[[t]]) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{Local} G\operatorname{-action}$ 

**Question** Does there exist an extension  $\Lambda/W(k)$ , and a representation

$$\tilde{\rho}: G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda[[T]]),$$

such that if t is the reduction of T, then the action of G on  $\Lambda[[T]]$  reduces to the action of G on k[[t]]?

If the answer to the above question is affirmative, then we say that the G-action lifts to characteristic zero. A group G for which every local G-action on k[[t]] lifts to characteristic zero is called *a local Oort group* for k.

Some of the known obstructions to the lifting problem are:

- Bertin obstruction
- KGB obstruction,
- Hurwitz tree obstruction

The potential candidates for local Oort groups are:

- Cyclic groups
- Dihedral groups  $D_{p^h}$  of order  $2p^h$
- The alternating group  ${\cal A}_4$

**Oort conjecture** every cyclic group  $C_q$  of order  $q=p^h$  is a local Oort group.

- F. Pop Ann. of Math 2014
- A. Obus and S. Wewers Ann. of Math. 2014.
- $A_4$  is a local Oort group A. Obus Algebra Number Theory 2016 (also known to Pop Bouw and Wewers )
- $D_p$  are local Oort group (Bouw, Wewers Duke Math J 2006, Pagot Phd Thesis Bordeaux 2002 )
- Several cases of  $D_{p^h}$  are known: A. Obus, H. Dang, S. Das, K. Karagiannis, A. Obus, V. Thatte,  $D_4$  (B. Weaver).

Perhaps the most significant of the currently known obstructions is the KGB obstruction (Chinburg, Guralnick, Harbater Ann. Sci. E<sup>'</sup>c. Norm. Sup. 2011).

**Conjecture:** If the p-Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic then this is the sole obstruction for the local lifting problem (A. Obus).

In particular, the KGB obstruction for the dihedral group  $D_q$  is known to vanish, and the so called "generalized Oort conjecture'' asserts that the local action of  $D_q$  always lifts for q-odd.

Aim of the talk: Provide an "iff" criterion for  $G=C_q\rtimes C_m$  -action and in particular for the group  $D_q$  to lift.

Counterexample The  $D_{125}$  with a selection of lower jumps 9,189,4689 does not lift.

A Harbater-Katz-Gabber cover (HKG) is a Galois cover  $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ , such that there are at most two branched k-rational points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , where  $P_1$  is tamely ramified and  $P_2$  is totally and wildly ramified.

Any finite subgroup G of  $\operatorname{Aut}(k[[t]])$  can be associated with an HKG-curve X. This means that there is a HKG-curve X such that the action of  $G = G(P_2)$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{X,P_2} = k[[t]]$  is the original action of  $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(k[[t]])$ .

X non-singular non-hyperelliptic curve, of genus  $g \ge 3$  defined over an algebraically closed field with sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_X$  there is the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to I_X \to {\rm Sym} H^0(X, \Omega_X) \to \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty H^0(X, \Omega_X^{\otimes n}) \to 0$$

where  $I_X$  is generated by elements of degree 2 and 3. Also if X is not a non-singular quintic of genus 6 or X is not a trigonal curve, then  $I_X$  is generated by elements of degree 2.

The ideal  $I_X$  is called *the canonical ideal* and it is the homogeneous ideal of the embedded curve  $X \to \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ .

Suppose that the canonical ideal is generated by quadratic polynomials  $A_1,\ldots,A_r.$ 

A quadratic polynomial  $\tilde{A}_i$  can be encoded in terms of a symmetric  $g \times g$  matrix  $A_i = (a_{\nu,\mu})$  as follows. Set  $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g)^t$ . We have

$$\begin{split} &\tilde{A}_i(\bar{\omega}) = \bar{\omega}^t A_i \bar{\omega}. \\ &\sigma\left(\tilde{A}_i(\bar{\omega})\right) = \bar{\omega}^t \sigma^t A_i \sigma \bar{\omega}. \\ &\sigma(I_X) = I_X \text{ if and only if } \sigma^t A_i \sigma \in \langle A_1, \dots, A_r \rangle \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r. \end{split}$$

This is a linear algebra computation not involving Gröbner bases.

 $x^n + y^n + z^n = 0$ , of genus  $g = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$  and a basis of holomorphic differentials given by  $x^i y^i \omega$  for  $0 \le i \le n-3$ .

Proposition (Karagiannis, K., Terezakis, Tsouknidas)

The canonical ideal of the Fermat curve  ${\cal F}_n$  consists of two sets of relations

$$\begin{split} G_1 &= \{\omega_{i_1,j_1}\omega_{i_2,j_2} - \omega_{i_3,j_3}\omega_{i_4,j_4} : i_1 + i_2 = i_3 + i_4, j_1 + j_2 = j_3 + j_4\},\\ G_2 &= \left\{\omega_{i_1,j_1}\omega_{i_2,j_2} + \omega_{i_3,j_3}\omega_{i_4,j_4} + \omega_{i_5,j_5}\omega_{i_6,j_6} = 0 : \begin{smallmatrix} i_1 + i_2 = n + a, & j_1 + j_2 = b \\ i_3 + i_4 = a, & j_3 + j_4 = n + b \\ i_5 + i_6 = a, & j_5 + j_6 = b \end{smallmatrix}\right\}$$

where  $0 \le a, b$  are selected such that  $0 \le a + b \le n - 3$ .

Automorphism group of Fermat curves, n=6, g=10, using magma: algebraic set described by  $g^2=100$  variables and 756 equations.

```
> FermatCurve(6,Rationals());
> x_{7,8}*x_{10,10} - 2*x_{9,8}*x_{9,10}
+ x_{10,8}*x_{7,10},
>
>.....756 equations......
>
> x_{7,9}*x_{10,10} - 2*x_{9,9}*x_{9,10}
+ x_{10,9}*x_{7,10}
```

### Proposition

Consider the Harbater-Katz-Gabber curve corresponding to the local group action  $C_q \rtimes C_m$ , where  $q = p^h$  that is a power of the characteristic p. If one of the following conditions holds:

+ 
$$h \ge 3$$
 or  $h = 2, p > 3$ 

• h = 1 and the first jump  $i_0$  in the ramification filtration for the cyclic group satisfies  $i_0 \neq 1$  and  $q \geq \frac{12}{i_0 - 1} + 1$ ,

then the curve X has canonical ideal generated by quadratic polynomials.

Notice, that the missing cases in the above lemma which satisfy the KGB obstruction, are all either cyclic,  $D_3 \ {\rm or} \ D_9,$  which are all known local Oort groups.

Let X be a curve which is is canonically embedded in  $\mathbb{P}_k^{g-1}$  and the canonical ideal is generated by quadratic polynomials, and acted on by the group G.

The curve  $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  can be lifted to a family

 $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ 

along with the G-action, if and only if

- the representation  $\rho_k: G \to \operatorname{GL}_g(k) = \operatorname{GL}(H^0(X, \Omega_X))$  lifts to a representation  $\rho_R: G \to \operatorname{GL}_g(R) = \operatorname{GL}(H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/R}))$
- The lift of the canonical ideal is left invariant by the action of  $\rho_R(G)$ .

 $R = \Lambda[[x_1, \ldots, x_{3q-3}]]$  universal deformation ring of curves.

 $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$  the universal curve.

We have the following diagram relating special and generic fibres (Charalambous, Karagiannnis, K. Annales inst. Fourier 2023)

#### **Relative version of Petri's theorem**



#### Theorem

Let  $f_1,\ldots,f_r\in k[\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_g]$  be quadratic polynomials which generate the canonical ideal of a curve X defined over an algebraic closed field k. Any deformation  $\mathcal{X}_A$  is given by quadratic polynomials  $\tilde{f}_1,\ldots,\tilde{f}_r\in A[W_1,\ldots,W_g]$ , which reduce to  $f_1,\ldots,f_r$  modulo the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  of A.

The lifting problem for a representation

 $\rho: G \to \operatorname{GL}_n(k)$ ,

where k is a field of characteristic p > 0, is about finding a local ring R of characteristic 0, with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_R$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{m}_R = k$ , so that the following diagram is commutative:



$$G = \langle \sigma, \tau | \tau^q = 1, \sigma^m = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^\alpha \rangle.$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq p^h-1, (\alpha,p)=1.$ 

- Dihedral groups are metacyclic  $\alpha = -1$ .
- $\alpha^m \equiv 1 \mod q$
- Define  ${\rm ord}_{p^i}\alpha$  to be the smallest natural number o such that  $\alpha^o\equiv 1 \mod p^i.$
- If the KGB-obstruction vanishes and  $\alpha \neq 1$  then  $\mathrm{ord}_{p^i}\alpha = m$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq h.$

# Indecomposable k[G]-modules

k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic p>0. Indecomposable representations of G are determined by the value of the  $\tau$ 

$$\tau = \mathrm{Id}_{\kappa} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\tau e_i = e_i + e_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \kappa \leq q$ 

And  $\sigma e_1 = \zeta_m^\lambda e_1.$  Using the relation  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^\alpha$  we see that

$$\sigma e_i = \alpha^{i-1} \zeta_m^\lambda e_i + \sum_{\nu=i+1}^\kappa a_\nu e_\nu.$$

# Definition $V(\lambda,\kappa)$

# Indecomposable $\Lambda[G]$ -modules

 $\Lambda$  is a local PID with  $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}=k.$ 

Jordan normal form for an element  $\tau$  of order q:

 $f(x) = (x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)\cdots(x-\lambda_d)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\tau.$ 

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ a_1 & \lambda_2 & \ddots & & \vdots\\ 0 & a_2 & \lambda_3 & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{d-1} & \lambda_d \end{pmatrix}$$

Basis of a free module

$$\begin{split} E_1 &= E, \\ a_1 E_2 &= (T-\lambda_1 \mathrm{Id}_V) E_1, \\ a_2 E_3 &= (T-\lambda_2 \mathrm{Id}_V) E_2, \end{split}$$

. . .

$$a_{d-1}E_d = (T - \lambda_{d-1}\mathrm{Id}_V)E_{d-1}.$$

Let V be a free  $C_q \rtimes C_m$ -module, which is indecomposable as a  $C_q$ -module. Assume that no element  $a_1,\ldots,a_{d-1}$  is zero. The value of  $\sigma(E_1)$  determines  $\sigma(E_i)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d$ .

$$S(a_{i-1}E_i)=S(T-\lambda_{i-1}\mathrm{Id}_V)E_{i-1}=(T^a-\lambda_{i-1}\mathrm{Id}_V)S(E_{i-1}).$$

This means that one can define recursively the action of  ${\cal S}$  on all elements  $E_i.$  Indeed, assume that

$$S(E_{i-1}) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} \gamma_{\nu,i-1} E_{\nu}.$$

$$\begin{split} (T^a - \lambda_{i-1} \mathrm{Id}_V) E_\nu &= \sum_{\mu=1}^d t_{\mu,\nu}^{(\alpha)} E_\mu - \lambda_{i-1} E_\nu \\ &= (\lambda_\nu^\alpha - \lambda_{i-1}) E_\nu + \sum_{\mu=\nu+1}^d t_{\mu,\nu}^{(\alpha)} E_\mu. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} a_{i-1}S(E_i) &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \gamma_{\nu,i-1} (\lambda_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \lambda_{i-1}) E_{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=1}^d \gamma_{\nu,i-1} \sum_{\mu=\nu+1}^d t_{\mu,\nu}^{(\alpha)} E_{\mu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^d \tilde{\gamma}_{\nu,i} E_{\nu}, \end{split}$$

### Reduction of indecomposables mod $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{m}}$

#### Proposition

$$\gamma_{i,i} \equiv \zeta_m^\epsilon \alpha^{i-1} \mod \mathfrak{m}$$

Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}\}$ . If  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\gamma_{\mu,i} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$  for  $\mu \neq i$ , that is  $E_i$  is an eigenvector for the reduced action of  $\Gamma$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

If  $a_{\kappa_1},\ldots,a_{\kappa_r}$  are the elements of the set A which are in  $\mathfrak m,$  then the reduced matrix of  $\Gamma$  has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \Gamma_r \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_{r+1}$  for  $1 \le \nu \le r+1$  are  $(\kappa_{\nu} - \kappa_{\nu-1}) \times (\kappa_{\nu} - \kappa_{\nu-1})$  lower triangular matrices (we set

### Theorem (K., Terezakis, J. Algebra (accepted 2024))

Consider a  $k[G]\mbox{-module}\;M$  which is decomposed as a direct sum

$$M=V_{\alpha}(\epsilon_1,\kappa_1)\oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\alpha}(\epsilon_s,\kappa_s).$$

The module lifts to an  $\Lambda[G]$ -module if and only if the set  $\{1,\ldots,s\}$  can be written as a disjoint union of sets  $I_{\nu},\,1\leq\nu\leq t$  so that

• 
$$\sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} \kappa_{\mu} \leq q$$
, for all  $1 \leq \nu \leq t$ .  
•  $\sum_{\mu \in I_{\nu}} \kappa_{\mu} \equiv a \mod m$  for all  $1 \leq \nu \leq t$ , where  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ .  
• For each  $\nu, 1 \leq \nu \leq t$  there is an enumeration  
 $\sigma : \{1, \dots, \#I_{\nu}\} \rightarrow I_{\nu} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$ , such that

$$\epsilon_{\sigma(2)} = \epsilon_{\sigma(1)} \alpha^{\kappa_{\sigma(1)}}, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma(3)} = \epsilon_{\sigma(2)} \alpha^{\kappa_{\sigma(2)}}, \\ \dots, \\ \epsilon_{\sigma(s)} = \epsilon_{\sigma(s-1)} \alpha^{\kappa_{\sigma(s-1)}}.$$

Consider the group  $q = 5^2, m = 4, \alpha = 7$ ,

$$G = C_{5^2} \rtimes C_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^4 = \tau^{25} = 1, \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} = \tau^7 \rangle.$$

Observe that  $\operatorname{ord}_5 7 = \operatorname{ord}_{5^2} 7 = 4$ .

The module  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 25)$  is projective and is known to lift in characteristic zero. This fits well with theorem, since  $4 \mid 25 - 1 = 4 \cdot 6$ .

The modules  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon,\kappa)$  do not lift in characteristic zero if  $4 \nmid \kappa$  or  $4 \nmid \kappa - 1.$ 

Therefore only  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 1)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 4)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 5)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 8)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 9)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 12)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 13)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 16)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 17)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 20)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 21)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 24)$ ,  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon, 25)$  lift. The module  $V_{\alpha}(1,2)\oplus V_{\alpha}(3,2)$  lift to characteristic zero, where the matrix of  $\tau$  with respect to a basis  $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4$  is given by

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \zeta_q^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi & \zeta_q^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \zeta_q^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $S(E_1)=\zeta_m E_1.$ 

The module  $V_{\alpha}(1,2) \oplus V_{\alpha}(1,2)$  does not lift in characteristic zero. There is no way to permute the direct summands so that the eigenvalues of a lift S of  $\sigma$  are given by  $\zeta_m^{\epsilon}, \alpha \zeta_m^{\epsilon}, \alpha^2 \zeta_m^{\epsilon}, \alpha^3 \zeta_m^{\epsilon}$ . Notice that  $\alpha = 2 = \zeta_m$ .

The module  $V_{\alpha}(\epsilon_1, 21) \oplus V_{\alpha}(2^{21} \cdot \epsilon_1, 23)$  does not lift in characteristic zero. The sum 21 + 23 is divisible by  $4, \epsilon_2 = 2^{21}\epsilon_1$  is compatible, but 21 + 23 = 44 > 25 so the representation of  $\tau$  in the supposed indecomposable module formed by their sum can not have different eigenvalues which should be 25-th roots of unity.

We will consider a HKG-cover



of the  $G\text{-}\mathrm{action}.$  This has a cyclic subcover  $X \xrightarrow{C_q} \mathbb{P}^1$  with Galois group  $C_q.$ 

We lift using Oort's conjecture for  $C_q$  -groups to a cover  $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda.$ 

This gives rise to a lifting

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{GL} H^0(\mathcal{X},\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\Lambda}) &= \operatorname{GL}_g(\Lambda) \\ & \swarrow & \operatorname{mod} \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda \\ \mathcal{C}_q & \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL} H^0(X,\Omega_X) = \operatorname{GL}_g(k) \end{split}$$

32

The  $g\times g$  symmetric matrices  $A_1,\ldots,A_r$  defining the quadratic canonical ideal of the curve X, define a vector subspace of the vector space V of  $g\times g$  symmetric matrices. By Oort conjecture, we know that there are symmetric matrices  $\tilde{A}_1,\ldots,\tilde{A}_r$  with entries in a local principal ideal domain R, which reduce to the initial matrices  $A_1,\ldots,A_r$ .

These matrices  $\tilde{A}_1, \ldots, \tilde{A}_r$  correspond to the lifted relative curve  $\tilde{X}$ . Moreover, the submodule  $\tilde{V} = \langle \tilde{A}_1, \ldots, \tilde{A}_r \rangle$  is left invariant under the action of a lifting  $\tilde{\rho}$  of the representation  $\rho : C_q \to \operatorname{GL}_q(k)$ . Assume that there is a lifting of the linear representaion



Consider the sum of the free modules

$$W = \tilde{V} + \tilde{\rho}(\sigma)\tilde{V} + \tilde{\rho}(\sigma^2)\tilde{V} + \dots + \tilde{\rho}(\sigma^{m-1})\tilde{V} \subset R^N = \mathrm{Sym}_g(\Lambda).$$

- + W is an  $\Lambda[C_q\rtimes C_m]\text{-module}$
- Modules over PID: there is a basis  $E_1,\ldots,E_N$  of  $\Lambda^N$  such that

 $W = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_r \oplus \pi^{a_{r+1}} E_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \pi^{a_N} E_N,$  where  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  form a basis of  $\tilde{V}$ , while  $\pi^{a_{r+1}} E_{r+1}, \dots, \pi^{a_N} E_N$  form a basis of the kernel  $W_1$  of the reduction modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}$ .

Let  $\pi$  be the  $\Lambda[C_q]\text{-equivariant projection map}$ 

$$W = \tilde{V} \oplus_{R[C_q] - \text{modules}} W_1 \to W_1.$$

Mascke's theorem (*m* is invretible in  $\Lambda$ ) construct a module  $\tilde{V}'$ , which is  $\Lambda[C_q \rtimes C_m]$  stable and reduces to V modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}$ .

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \tilde{\rho}(\sigma^i) \pi \tilde{\rho}(\sigma^{-i}).$$

We see that  $\bar{\pi}$  is the identity on  $W_1$  since  $\pi$  is the identity on  $W_1$ . Moreover  $\tilde{V}' := \ker \bar{\pi}$  is both  $C_q$  and  $C_m$  invariant and reduces to V modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_{\Lambda}$ .

$$\dim H^0(X,\Omega_X)^H=\tilde{g}$$

For the case of HKG-covers and  $H=C_q$  we compute  $\tilde{g}=0$  so  $H^0(X,\Omega_X)$  has no identity eigenvalues and  $m\mid g.$ 

Bleher, Chinburg, K. (J. Num. Th. 2020) Closed formula for the multiplicities of indecomposable representations in  $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ .

$$V(\lambda,\kappa) = U(\lambda + a_0(\kappa - 1) \mod m, \kappa), \quad \alpha = \zeta_m^{a_0}$$

### Example 1.

Consider the curve with lower jumps 1, 21, 521 and higer jumps 1, 5, 25, acted on by  $C_{125} \rtimes C_4$ . The only possible values for  $\alpha$  are 1, 57, 68, 124. The value  $\alpha = 1$  gives rise to a cyclic group G, while the value  $\alpha = 124$  has order  $2 \mod 125$ . The values 57, 68 have order  $4 \mod 125$ . The cyclic group  $\mathbb{F}_5^*$  is generated by the primitive root 2 of order 4. We have that  $57 \equiv 2 \mod 5$ , while  $68 \equiv 3 \equiv 2^3 \mod 5$ .

The following modules appear in the decomposition of  $H^0(X, \Omega_X)$ , each one with multiplicity one.

$$\begin{split} & U_{0,5}, U_{3,11}, U_{2,17}, U_{1,23}, U_{0,29}, U_{3,35}, U_{2,41}, U_{1,47}, U_{0,53}, U_{3,59}, \\ & U_{2,65}, U_{1,71}, U_{0,77}, U_{3,83}, U_{2,89}, U_{1,95}, U_{0,101}, U_{3,107}, U_{2,113}, U_{1,119} \end{split}$$

We have that  $119 \equiv 3 \mod 4$  so the module  $U_{1,119}$  can not be lifted by itself. Also it can't be paired with  $U_{0,5}$  since  $119 + 5 \equiv 4 \neq 1 \mod 4$ . All other modules have dimension d such that d + 119 > 125. Therefore, the representation of  $H^0(G, \Omega_X)$  cannot be lifted.

39

The case of dihedral groups, in which the KGB-obstruction is always vanishing, is more difficult to find an example that does not lift.

The HKB-curve with lower jumps  $9, 9 \cdot 21 = 189, 9 \cdot 521 = 4689$  has genus 11656 and the following modules appear in its decomposition, each one appearing with multiplicity one:

 $U_{0,1}, U_{1,1}, U_{0,2}, U_{1,2}, U_{1,3}, U_{0,4}, U_{1,4}, U_{0,5}, U_{1,6}, U_{0,7}, U_{1,7}, U_{0,8}, U_{1,8}, U_{0,9},$  $U_{1,0}, U_{0,11}, U_{1,11}, U_{0,12}, U_{1,12}, U_{0,13}, U_{1,13}, U_{0,14}, U_{1,15}, U_{0,16}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{0,17}, U_{1,17}, U_{1,$  $U_{0,18}, U_{1,18}, U_{0,19}, U_{1,19}, U_{0,21}, U_{1,21}, U_{0,22}, U_{1,22}, U_{0,23}, U_{1,23}, U_{1,24}, U_{0,25}, U_{1,25}, U_{1$  $U_{1,26}, U_{0,27}, U_{1,27}, U_{0,28}, U_{1,28}, U_{0,29}, U_{1,29}, U_{0,31}, U_{1,31}, U_{0,32}, U_{1,32}, U_{0,33}, U_{1,32}, U_{0,33}, U_{1,32}, U_{0,33}, U_{1,33}, U_{1$  $U_{0,34}, U_{1,34}, U_{1,35}, U_{0,36}, U_{0,37}, U_{1,37}, U_{0,38}, U_{1,38}, U_{0,39}, U_{1,39}, U_{0,41}, U_{1,41}, U_{1$  $U_{0,42}, U_{1,42}, U_{0,43}, U_{1,43}, U_{1,44}, U_{0,45}, U_{0,46}, U_{1,46}, U_{1,47}, U_{0,48}, U_{1,48}, U_{0,49}, U_{1,48}, U_{0,49}, U_{1,48}, U_{1$  $U_{1,49}, U_{0,51}, U_{1,51}, U_{0,52}, U_{1,52}, U_{0,53}, U_{0,54}, U_{1,54}, U_{1,55}, U_{0,56}, U_{0,57}, U_{1,57}, U_{1$  $U_{0.58}, U_{1.58}, U_{0.59}, U_{1.59}, U_{0.61}, U_{1.61}, U_{0.62}, U_{1.62}, U_{0.63}, U_{1.63}, U_{1.64}, U_{0.65},$  $U_{0.66}, U_{1.66}, U_{1.67}, U_{0.68}, U_{1.68}, U_{0.69}, U_{1.69}, U_{0.71}, U_{1.71}, U_{0.72}, U_{1.72}, U_{0.73},$  $U_{1,73}, U_{0,74}, U_{1,75}, U_{0,76}, U_{0,77}, U_{1,77}, U_{0,78}, U_{1,78}, U_{0,79}, U_{1,79}, U_{0,81}, U_{1,81},$  $U_{0.82}, U_{1.82}, U_{0.83}, U_{1.83}, U_{1.84}, U_{0.85}, U_{1.86}, U_{0.87}, U_{1.87}, U_{0.88}, U_{1.88}, U_{0.89},$  $U_{1,89}, U_{0,91}, U_{1,91}, U_{0,92}, U_{1,92}, U_{0,93}, U_{1,93}, U_{0,94}, U_{1,95}, U_{0,96}, U_{1,96}, U_{0,97},$  $U_{0,98}, U_{1,98}, U_{0,99}, U_{1,99}, U_{0,101}, U_{1,101}, U_{0,102}, U_{1,102}, U_{1,103}, U_{0,104}, U_{1,104}, U_$  $U_{0,105}, U_{1,106}, U_{0,107}, U_{1,107}, U_{0,108}, U_{1,108}, U_{0,109}, U_{1,109}, U_{0,111}, U_{1,111},$  $U_{0,112}, U_{1,112}, U_{0,113}, U_{1,113}, U_{0,114}, U_{1,115}, U_{0,116}, U_{1,116}, U_{0,117}, U_{0,118},$  $U_{1,118}, U_{0,119}, U_{1,119}, U_{0,121}, U_{1,121}, U_{0,122}, U_{1,122}, U_{0,123}, U_{1,123}, U_{1,124},$ 

Notice that  $U_{1,123}, U_{0,123}$  can be paired with  $U_{1,0}, U_{1,1}$ , and then for  $U_{0,121}, U_{1,121}$  there is only one  $U_{1,3}$  to be paired with. The lift is not possible.

#### Proposition

Assume that the first lower jump equals  $b_0 = 1 \mbox{ and each other lower jump is given by } \label{eq:basic}$ 

$$b_{\ell} = \frac{p^{2\ell+1} + 1}{p+1}.$$

Then, the local action of the dihedral group  $D_{p^h}$  lifts.

Thank you for your attention!